1	United States Attorney	
2		
Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
5	Attorneys for the United States	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:11-CV-02738-GEB-DAD
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO STAY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND ORDER
13	v.	
14	REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 105 THREE HILLS ROAD, HAYFORK,	[4th request]
15	CALIFORNIA, TRINITY COUNTY, APN: 019-530-23-00 INCLUDING	[4th request]
16	ALL APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS THERETO, et al.,	
17		
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	The United States and claimants Lapoe Smith and Katherine Renee Smith, by and	
21	through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that a stay is appropriate in the above	
22	entitled action, and request that the Court enter an order staying further proceedings for	
23	three months until January 5, 2015.	
24	1. This matter was previously stayed for six months on April 3, 2014, based on	
25	the federal criminal action against claimant Lapoe Smith, filed April 3, 2013 in the	
26	Western District of Texas, <u>United States v. Lapoe Smith</u> , Case No. 13-CR-0280. In that	
27	federal indictment, Lapoe Smith was charged with one count of evading taxes in violation	

28 of 26 U.S.C. § 7201, from August 10, 2005 continuing to May 11, 2011; and four counts of

subscribing a false tax return in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1), from April 2007 to October
 2010. That matter is currently pending before Chief Judge Fred Biery in the Western
 District of Texas.

Each of the claimants have filed claims and answers to the defendant
 properties, (ECF Nos. 5-6, 12), which were sold pursuant to an interlocutory sale in March
 2013, (ECF No. 23). The net sale proceeds were deposited into a U.S. Treasury bank
 account and constitute the substitute *res*. ECF No. 23.

8 3. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21
9 U.S.C. § 881(i).

4. Claimant Lapoe Smith has been charged with violations of federal tax laws, 10 United States v. Lapoe Smith, Case No. 13-CR-0280, substantially based on the joint tax 11 return filed on behalf of himself and co-claimant Katherine Smith. Claimant Katherine 12 Smith has not been charged with any federal crimes and it is the parties' understanding 13 that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential criminal charges relating to 14 filing of the tax returns for the relevant years and/or the alleged tax evasion scheme. The 15 United States intends to depose and request written discovery from claimants regarding 16 their income and tax return information, as well as information regarding their financial 17 ability to purchase the defendant properties in May 2010. If discovery proceeds at this 18 time, these individuals, or some of them, will be placed in the difficult position of either 19 20 invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination or waiving their Fifth Amendment rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating 21 themselves regarding their income and ability to purchase the property forming the basis 22 of this civil *in rem* case. If they invoke their Fifth Amendment rights, the United States 23 will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claims they filed with this 24 court. 25

5. Further, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with
this investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Internal Revenue
Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would adversely

Stipulation to Stay Further Proceedings and Order

2

affect the ability of the federal authorities to prepare for the criminal trial and/or further
 investigate the alleged underlying criminal conduct.

6. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time could
have potential adverse effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct
and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove their claims to the defendant properties and to
assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly request that these
matters be stayed until January 5, 2015, in accordance with the terms of this stipulation.
At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal case and will
advise the court whether a further stay is appropriate.

7. Prior to the federal indictment against claimant Lapoe Smith, the United
 States planned to amend the complaint to reflect information learned during depositions
 taken on March 1, 2013. The parties agree that any potential amendment to the
 complaint, based on the above information or otherwise, will be addressed by the Court
 when the stay expires.

15 8. The United States does not waive a potential challenge to each claim based on
16 lack of statutory and/or Article III standing. That issue of standing is preserved until the
17 stay is lifted under 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(7).

18 9. For good cause shown, any party to this stipulation may seek relief from this
19 stay prior to January 5, 2015.

20 Dated: <u>10/02/14</u>

Dated: 10/02/14

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

By: <u>/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian</u> KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U.S. Attorney

> <u>/s/ David M. Michael</u> DAVID M. MICHAEL Attorney for claimants Lapoe Smith and Katherine Renee Smith (Authorized by phone)

3

<u>ORDER</u>	
For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed until January 5, 2015. For	
good cause shown, any party to the above stipulation may seek relief from this stay prior to	
January 5, 2015. On or before that date, the parties will advise the Court whether a	
further stay is necessary.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	

Dated: October 3, 2014

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge