1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	PAUL SAMUEL JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-2749 MCE CKD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed June 20, 2012, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days
17	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and
18	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned,
20	plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his
21	current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record
22	address of the party is fully effective.
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
	1

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	Dated: July 24, 2012
7	Carop U. Delang CAROLYN K. DELANEY
8 9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9 10	
10	
12	1/md john2749.33a
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I