1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	PAUL SAMUEL JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-2749 CKD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al., ORDER AND
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed October 27, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma
17	pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that
18	failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day
19	period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an
20	in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district
22	judge to this case; and
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
	1

1	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
4	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
5	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
6	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7 8	Dated: December 5, 2011 Caroh II Delam
8 9	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	1/de
13	john2749.fifp
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2
l	I

I