1		
1		
2		
3 4		
+ 5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN DUNMORE,	No. 2:11-cv-2867 MCE AC PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	SIDNEY D. DUNMORE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19	On August 2, 2013, Defendant Jeremy Dunsmore filed a Motion for Judgment on the	
20	Pleadings. ECF No. 138. Thereafter, Defendant Sidney B. Dunmore joined in the motion, ECF	
21	No. 140, as did Defendants Chady Dunmore, Claude Parcon, Kelly Houghton, Mary Nielson,	
22	Shelli Donald, and Lynda Tremain, ECF No. 141, and Acquisition Phoenix-Miami LP,	
23	Acquisition Venice LP, Acquisition West Hatcher LP, Amberwood Investments LLC, Kathleen	
24	L. Dunmore, and Maximillion Capital LLC, ECF No. 142.	
25	On October 9, 2013, the magistrate judge filed Findings and Recommendations herein,	
26	ECF No. 156, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
27	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff	
28	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 159.	
		1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this		
2	Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
3	Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper		
4	analysis.		
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
6	1.	The findings and recommendations filed October 9, 2013, are ADOPTED IN	
7		FULL;	
8	2.	Jeremy A. Dunmore's August 2, 2013, Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings,	
9		ECF No. 138, is GRANTED IN PART;	
10	3.	Plaintiff's RICO claims are DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND;	
11	4.	The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's remaining	
12		state law claims;	
13	5.	This matter is REMANDED to the Placer County Superior Court for lack of	
14		subject matter jurisdiction; and	
15	6.	The Clerk of the Court is directed to close the case.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated: February 4, 2014		
18		Moun A. i.	
19	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE		
20		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	