

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 VANCES FOODS, INC.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SPECIAL DIETS EUROPE LIMITED, et  
15 al.,

16 Defendants.  
17

No. 2:11-cv-2943 TLN CKD

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

18 Presently before the court is plaintiff's motion for default judgment against defendants  
19 Special Diets Europe Limited and Eamon Cotter. This matter was submitted without oral  
20 argument. The undersigned has fully considered the briefs and record in this case and, for the  
21 reasons stated below, will recommend that plaintiff's motion for default judgment be granted.

22 In this action, plaintiff alleges claims for misappropriation of trade secrets, breach of  
23 contract and trademark infringement. The record reflects that defendants were properly served  
24 on October 6, 2011. ECF No. 36-1. Default against defendants was entered on January 8, 2013.  
25 ECF No. 37. In the motion for default judgment, plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and an award of  
26 attorneys' fees and costs.

27 Entry of default effects an admission of all well-pleaded allegations of the complaint by  
28 the defaulted party. Geddes v. United Financial Group, 559 F.2d 557 (9th Cir. 1977). The court

1 finds the well pleaded allegations of the complaint state a claim for which relief can be granted.  
2 Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 1090, 1093 (9th Cir. 1976). The application for default judgment  
3 and the exhibits and affidavits attached thereto also support the finding that plaintiff is entitled to  
4 injunctive relief as set forth in the prayer for default judgment, which does not differ in kind from  
5 the relief requested in the complaint. Henry v. Sneiders, 490 F.2d 315, 317 (9th Cir.), cert.  
6 denied, 419 U.S. 832 (1974). Plaintiff is also entitled to attorneys' fees under the Distributorship  
7 Agreement and Product Development Agreement at issue here and the amount claimed is  
8 reasonable. Boom Decl., Exh. 1 at ¶¶ 13.07 E, F, Exh. 2 at ¶¶ 6.06 E, F, ECF Nos. 46-1, 46-2;  
9 Peterson Decl., ECF No. 45. There are no policy considerations which preclude the entry of  
10 default judgment of the type requested. See Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-1472 (9th Cir.  
11 1986) (factors that may be considered by the court are possibility of prejudice to the plaintiff,  
12 merits of plaintiff's substantive claim, sufficiency of the complaint, sum of money at stake in the  
13 action; possibility of a dispute concerning material facts; whether the default was due to  
14 excusable neglect, and strong policy underlying the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure favoring  
15 decisions on the merits).

16 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 17 1. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (ECF No. 43) against defendants Special Diets  
18 Europe Limited and Eamon Cotter be granted;
- 19 2. Defendants, and each of them and their agents, servants, and employees and all persons  
20 acting under or in concert with them, be permanently enjoined from:
- 21 i. Continuing to develop the liquid DariFree™ product; and
- 22 ii. From continuing to use, reproduce, or possess in any form any data or trade  
23 secrets or trade secret information misappropriated from plaintiff, including without limitation
- 24 (1) the formula for DariFree;™
- 25 (2) the manufacturing process and specifications for DariFree;™
- 26 (3) plaintiff's proprietary list of ingredient suppliers; and
- 27 (4) all information that has been developed or derived from defendants' use  
28 of said information;

1           3. A decree of specific performance be entered against defendants, their agents,  
2 employees and all persons acting under or in concert with them as follows:

3                 i. To immediately return all plaintiff's trade secrets and other commercial and  
4 intellectual property of plaintiff; and

5                 ii. To destroy and certify the destruction of any copies, reproductions, or  
6 derivatives of same;

7           4. Defendants, or any subsidiaries, agents, or affiliates of defendants, be permanently  
8 enjoined from using in commerce any mark that infringes or competes unfairly with plaintiff's  
9 Mark, or derivations thereof;

10          5. Defendants be ordered to conduct corrective advertising to correct consumer  
11 confusion;

12          6. Judgment be entered for plaintiff that defendants have no right to hereafter use the  
13 "Vance's DariFree" and/or "DariFree" marks or any derivatives thereof;


14          7. Defendants be ordered to pay plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated  
15 with this action in the amount of \$37,155.20;

16          8. Defendants be required to pay prejudgment and post-judgment interest until such  
17 award is paid; and

18          9. This action be closed.

19          These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
20 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
21 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
22 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
23 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections  
24 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.  
25 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

26 Dated: April 8, 2015

27   
CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE