1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL NAGY,	No. 2:11-cv-2948 WBS DAD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	D. DAVEY, et al.,	
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On July 29, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 29, 2015, are adopted in full;	
3	2. Petitioner's motion to re-instate the stay of this action construed as a renewed motion	
4	for a stay and abeyance (Doc. No. 31) is denied; and	
5	3. Petitioner shall file a traverse, if any, in support of his exhausted claims within thirty	
6	days of the date of service of this order.	
7	Dated: September 1, 2015	
8	Million & Shibt	
9	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10	/nagy2948.804hc	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	