Local Rule 140(a) provides that "pursuant to the Judicial Conference Policy on Privacy and Electronic Access to Case Files, and the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, effective April 16, 2003, when filing documents, counsel and the Court shall omit or, where reference is necessary, partially redact the following personal data identifiers from all pleadings, documents, and exhibits, whether filed electronically or on paper, unless the Court orders

22

23

24

25

26

Doc. 10

otherwise: . . . Social Security numbers: Use only the last four numbers . . . . " E.D. Cal. L.R. 140(a). The court notes that although the first document that plaintiff seeks to redact (Ex. P-9 at 3) does not contain plaintiff's social security number, the latter two documents (Ex. 14 at 1, 2) do. Therefore, plaintiff's request to redact those exhibits will be granted. Additionally, upon review of plaintiff's complaint, the court observed three additional references to plaintiff's social security number (or plaintiff's Employee ID number, which in some instances is the same as plaintiff's social security number). Accordingly, the Clerk will be directed to redact those documents, as well. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion to redact, Dckt. No. 9, is granted. 2. The Clerk is directed to redact all social security numbers/employee ID numbers from the exhibits attached to plaintiff's complaint, Dckt. No. 1, and include only the last four numbers: Ex. P-9 at p.2 (Dckt. No. 1-1 at 38); Ex. P-9 at p.15 (Dckt. No. 1-1 at 51); Ex. P-10 at p.5 (Dckt. No. 1-1 at 65); Ex. 14 at p.1 (Dckt. No. 1-2 at 12); and

17 18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

DATED: December 1, 2011

SO ORDERED.

Ex. 14 at p.2 (Dckt. No. 1-2 at 13);

DATED: December 1, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23

24

25

26