

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA N.A.;
FHLMCLBAC 173 a.k.a. FEDERAL
HOMELoAN MORTGAGE
CORPORATION(FREDDIE MAC); BAC
HOME LOANSSERVICING LP;
BALBOA INSURANCECO.; BANK OF
AMERICAMORTGAGE; QUALITY
LOANSERVICE CORP.; HOME
RETENTIONGROUP,

Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-2953-JAM-EFB PS

ORDER

On February 27, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. After an extension of time, plaintiff filed objections on March 20, 2015, and they were considered by the undersigned.

This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the court

1 assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. United
2 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
3 reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

4 The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
5 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 7 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed February 27, 2015, are
8 ADOPTED;
- 9 2. Plaintiff's motion to amend the second amended complaint, ECF No. 168, is denied.

10 DATED: June 9, 2015

11 /s/ John A. Mendez
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28