Schneider v. Bank	of America N.A et al		Doc.	295
1 2 3 4 5 6 7				
8	LIMITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
9				
10	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	CHRISTONIER P. COMPENDER	CARENO A 11 GV 02052 LANGEED		
12	CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,	CASE NO. 2:11-CV-02953-JAM-EFB		
13	Plaintiff,	The Hon. John A. Mendez		
14	VS.	AMENDED JUDGMENT		
15	BANK OF AMERICA N.A., FHLMC LBAC 173 a.k.a. FEDERAL HOME LOAN			
16	MORTGAGE CORPORATION (FREDDIE MAC), BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING			
17	LP, BALBOA INSURANCE CO, BANK OF AMERICA MORTGAGE, QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP, HOME RETENTION			
18	GROUP, DOES 2-40,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[Proposed] Am	ENDED JUDGMENT		

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that judgment shall be and hereby is entered as follows on the claims alleged by of Christopher D. Schneider ("Plaintiff") in the second amended complaint (Dkt. 91):

- 1. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") in accordance with the jury's verdict entered May 20, 2016 (Dkt. 291) on Plaintiff's claims against BANA under the Real Estate Settlement and Procedures Act ("RESPA").
- Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA, Federal Home Loan Mortgage

  Corporation ("FHLMC"), and Balboa Insurance Company ("Balboa") (collectively "Defendants")
  in accordance with the Court's May 20, 2016 ruling granting Defendants' motion under F.R.C.P.
  50 ("Rule 50") on Plaintiff's claims against Defendants under California Business & Professions

  Code § 17200.
- 3. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 20, 2016 ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against BANA under the Truth In Lending Act ("TILA").
- 4. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against BANA under the California Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("Rosenthal Act").
- Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 19,
   ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against BANA under
   California Civil Code Section 2954.
- 6. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against BANA for fraud.
- 7. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claim against BANA for breach of contract.
- 8. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA and FHLMC in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting BANA's and FHLMC's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against these defendants for an accounting.

- 9. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting Defendants' Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for conversion.
- 10. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting Defendants' Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for wrongful foreclosure.
- 11. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 19, 2016 ruling granting BANA's Rule 50 motion on Plaintiff's claims against BANA for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 12. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Balboa and FHLMC in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motions by Balboa and FHLMC under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) ("Rule 12(b)(6)") to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against these defendants under RESPA.
- 13. Against Plaintiff and in favor of FHLMC in accordance with the Court's July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against this defendant under TILA.
- 14. Against Plaintiff and in favor of FHLMC in accordance with the Court's July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against this defendant under the Rosenthal Act and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA").
- 15. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) granting without leave to amend the motion by BANA under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against BANA under the FDCPA.
- 16. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Balboa and FHLMC in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motions by Balboa and FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against these defendants under California Civil Code § 2954.
  - 17. Against Plaintiff and in favor of FHMLC in accordance with the Court's July 11,

2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against FHLMC for fraud, negligent misrepresentation and conspiracy.

- 18. Against Plaintiff and in favor of BANA in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) granting without leave to amend the motion by BANA under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against BANA for negligent misrepresentation and conspiracy.
- 19. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Balboa and FHLMC in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by Balboa and FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against these defendants for breach of contract.
- 20. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by Defendants under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for declaratory relief.
- 21. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Balboa and FHLMC in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by Balboa and FHLMC under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against these defendants for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 22. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by Defendants under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for negligence.
- 23. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May 21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the motion by Defendants under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for intentional infliction of emotional distress.

28 ||

1	24. Against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants in accordance with the Court's May			
2	21, 2014 order (Dkt. 132) and July 11, 2014 order (Dkt. 138) granting without leave to amend the			
3	motion by Defendants under Rule 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against Defendants under			
4	the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED			
6				
7				
8	Dated: 6/1/2016 /s/ John A. Mendez			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>				
27				
28				
20				

## Local Rule 137 Certificate I, Leslie M. Werlin, declare: I am with the law firm of McGuireWoods, LLP counsel for defendants Bank of 1. America, N.A., Balboa Insurance Company and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation in this action. 2. On May 24, 2016, I sent an email to Michael Yesk, Esq., counsel for Plaintiff in this matter ("Mr. Yesk"), with a copy of the proposed amended judgment sent forth above. A true copy of that email showing the date and time of transmission is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". The email address used for Mr. Yesk is the same email I have used for prior email communications to Mr. Yesk's office. As of the end of the day on May 31, 2016 I had heard nothing from Mr. Yesk regarding the attachment to my May 24, 2016. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct: June 1, 2016 at Los Angeles, California. /s/Leslie M. Werlin Leslie M. Werlin