1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATIONS, et al.,	No. 2:11-cv-02980-KJM-CKD	
11		ORDER SETTING REVISED BRIEFING	
12	Plaintiffs,	AND ARGUMENT SCHEDULE IN CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANTS'	
13	V.	DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS	
14	DONALD R. GLASER, Regional Director of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S.	Current Argument Date: March 9, 2012 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
15	BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, and SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER	Courtroom: 3 Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller	
16	AUTHORITY	suage. from Remoting 5. Wideher	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	·		
20	Defendant San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority ("Authority"), and Federal		
21	Defendants Donald R. Glaser, Regional Director of United States Bureau of Reclamation ("USBR"),		
22	and USBR, have jointly moved this Court to set a revised briefing and argument schedule in this		
23	case. Plaintiff Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations have opposed the motion,		
24	arguing that it would unfairly give the Authority additional time to file its reply. It also argues that		
25	the USBR has waived its opportunity to file a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b) of the Federal		
26	Rules of Civil Procedure by filing its answer on January 9, 2012.		
27	As plaintiff argues, by filing its answer, USBR has waived its right to bring a motion raising		
28	any of the defenses in Rule 12(b). FED. R. CIV. P. 1	2(b); Beery v. Hitachi Home Electronics	

1	(America), Inc., 157 F.R.D. 477, 479 (C.D. Cal. 1993). To the extent USBR will argue that this	
2	court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, however, such a motion may be raised at any time. FED. R.	
3	CIV. P. 12(h)(3); Augustine v. United States, 704 F.2d 1074, 1075 n.3 (9th Cir. 1983). As a matter of	
4	judicial economy, it is preferable that all such challenges be considered at the same time. USBR is	
5	counseled, however, that to the extent it attempts to bring a Rule 12(b) motion, it may be subject to	
6	sanctions.	
7	Therefore upon consideration of the motion and opposition, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	
8	THAT the following schedule shall apply:	

9	March 16, 2012	Federal Defendants' dispositive motion is due
10	March 23, 2012	Defendant Authority's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition (Dkt 24) is due
11	March 30, 2012	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Federal Defendants' dispositive motion is due
12	April 13, 2012	Federal Defendants' Reply is due
13	April 27, 2012	Hearing on the Defendants' two dispositive motions.
14		
15	DATED: March 6, 2012	IDA mal
16		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		