1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOHN NAVY NOVIKOFF,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-3023 MCE EFB
12	VS.
13	UNITED STATES,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	On May 9, 2012, the court heard plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to produce
17	further responses to plaintiff's interrogatories. Dckt. Nos. 10, 13. Attorney Joseph Maloney
18	appeared at the hearing on behalf of plaintiff and attorney J. Earlene Gordon appeared on behalf
19 20	of defendant. Per plaintiff's counsel's request, the motion was continued in order to permit
20 21	defendant to further investigate the matters at issue and provide supplemental responses to
21 22	plaintiff's interrogatories. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
22	1. The hearing on plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to produce further responses to
23 24	plaintiff's interrogatories, Dckt. No. 10, is continued to June 20, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. in
24 25	Courtroom No. 24.
23 26	////
20	
	1
	l Desta

1	2. On or before June 13, 2012, if plaintiff has not withdrawn the motion as moot, the
2	parties shall file a supplemental joint statement regarding the status of the discovery dispute.
3	3. Defendant is reminded of its obligation to conduct a thorough, diligent search in order
4	to answer the interrogatories with all information available to defendant, including information
5	known to anyone in the defendant's employ or over whom it has control. Fed. R. Civ. P.
6	33(b)(1)(B); Gen. Dynamics Corp. v. Selb Mfg. Co., 481 F.2d 1204, 1210-11 (8th Cir. 1973).
7	SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: May 16, 2012.
9	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20	2

I