Novikoff v. United States Doc. 35

27

28

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney GREGORY T. BRODERICK Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Defendant United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN NAVY NOVIKOFF

Plaintiff,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:11-CV-03023-MCE -EFB

STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFEDNANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

[Local Rule 143]

WHEREAS, this Court's Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 11] requires any motion for summary judgment to be filed 8 weeks before a hearing, the opposition and any cross-motion to be filed 5 weeks before a hearing, the opposition to any cross-motion and reply regarding the motion to be filed 3 weeks before a hearing; and any reply regarding the cross-motion to be filed one week before the hearing date;

WHEREAS, Defendant wishes to file a motion for summary judgment on an issue on which Plaintiff does not wish to cross-move;

WHEREAS, the parties have a fundamental disagreement on this issue underlying Defendant's proposed summary judgment motion, which could be dispositive of the case;

WHEREAS, an order from the Court deciding Defendant's motion is likely to aid in resolution of this case;

1	NOW WHEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:	
2	That Defendant's motion for summary judgment shall be calendared for hearing on Augus	
3	22, 2013;1	
4	That Defendant's motion be briefed on the following schedule:	
5	• Defendant's Motion and supporting papers:	July 18, 2013
6	• Plaintiff's Opposition:	August 8, 2013;
7	• Defendant's Reply:	August 15, 2013.
8	Nothing herein is intended to prohibit any party from filing any such motion according to the	
9	schedule set forth in the operative Scheduling Order.	
10		
11		Respectfully Submitted,
12	DATED: July 5, 2013	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
13		United States Attorney
14		By: /s/ Gregory T. Broderick
15		GREGORY T. BRODERICK Assistant United States Attorney
16		
17	DATED: July 5, 2013	/s/ Joseph E. Maloney Attorney for Plaintiff
18		Attorney for Plaintiff
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: July 18, 2013	
21	1 lour	
22	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE	
23	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICTCOURT
24		
25		

¹ The parties recognize that the Court retains the discretion to submit this matter without argument, or to continue the hearing to another date.