(PS) Marty v.	Estate of Jennifer-Ann:Marty et al
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH MARTY,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-3046 GEB CKD PS
12	VS.
13	ESTATE OF JENNIFER-ANN: MARTY, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The complaint filed by plaintiff, while
17	prolix and vague, appears to address matters that should be resolved in a state court probate
18	action.
19	The federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. In the absence of a basis for
20	federal jurisdiction, plaintiff's claims cannot proceed in this venue. There is no basis for federal
21	subject matter jurisdiction evident in the complaint.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Doc. 4

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than December 2, 2011, plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Dated: November 18, 2011

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4 marty.nosmj.osc