1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

VS.

A.W. NANGALAMA, et al.,

Defendants.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DAVID FLORENCE, Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-3119-JFM (PC)

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed December 23, 2011, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants. That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file

written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: February 16, 2012. /014;flor3119.fusm 

within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.