1	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP Andrew W. Stroud (SBN 240236) 980 9th Street, Suite 1700		
2			
3	Sacramento, CA 95814-2736 Telephone: 916-553-4000		
4	Facsimile: 916-553-4011 Email: stroud@mgslaw.com		
+ 5	OF COUNSEL:		
	COOPER & DUNHAM LLP		
6	Peter D. Murray (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Robert T. Maldonado (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
7	Hindy Dym (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 30 Rockefeller Plaza		
8	New York, New York 10112 Telephone: (212) 278-0509		
9	Facsimile:(212) 391-0525Email:Rmaldonado@cooperdunham.co	om	
10	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintif		
11	TELEBRANDS CORP., a New Jersey Corpora	tion	
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	MEYER MANUFACTURING COMPANY	CASE NO. 2:11-cv-03153 LKK-DAD	
15	LIMITED, a Hongkong Corporation,		
16	Plaintiff,		
17	v.	JOINT STIPULATION AND	
18	TELEBRANDS CORP., a New Jersey Corporation,	ORDER TO ADJOURN THE HEARING DATE FOR ALL PENDING MOTIONS	
19	Defendant,		
20			
21	TELEBRANDS CORP., a New Jersey Corporation,		
22	Counter-Plaintiff,		
23	v.		
24	MEYER MANUFACTURING COMPANY		
25	LIMITED, a Hongkong Corporation, and MEYER CORPORATION, U.S.,		
26	Counter-Defendants.		
27			
28			
	Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order to adjourn the hearing date for all pending motions	1 - CASE NO. 2:11-CV-03153 LKK-DAD	

1	Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff Telebrands Corp. ("Telebrands"), and Plaintiff/Counter-	
2	Defendant Meyer Manufacturing Company Limited and Counter-Defendant Meyer Corporation,	
3	U.S. (collectively, "Meyer"), hereby stipulate and request that the Court modify its Scheduling	
4	Order which states that all law and motion must be heard by March 29, 2013, so that all pending	
5	motions may be heard together on April 8, 2013.	
6	Presently, there are four pending motions before the Court:	
7	1) On January 22, 2013, Telebrands filed a motion to amend its Counterclaims (D.I. <sup>1</sup> 65),	
8	and noticed that motion to be heard on March 11, 2013;	
9	2) On February 11, 2013, Telebrands filed a motion to amend the scheduling order to	
10	permit it to file a motion to compel discovery (D.I. 67), and noticed that motion to be	
11	heard on March 11, 2013;	
12	3) On February 24, 2013, Meyer filed a motion to strike the expert rebuttal report of	
13	Stephen M. Nowlis, Ph.D. (D.I. 68), and noticed that motion to be heard on March 25,	
14	2013; and	
15	4) On February 25, 2013, Meyer filed a motion for summary judgment (D.I. 71), and	
16	noticed that motion to be heard on March 25, 2013.	
17	Accordingly, the two motions filed by Telebrands are scheduled to be heard on March 11, 2013,	
18	and the two motions filed by Meyer are scheduled to be heard on March 25, 2013.	
19	The Court issued its Scheduling Order (D.I. 39) on May 31, 2012, and ordered that all law	
20	and order motions must be heard by March 31, 2013. All motions filed by the parties were	
21	noticed to be heard by March 31, 2013. However, Telebrands' principal counsel is unavailable	
22	on March 25, 2013, due to a previous commitment to be outside of the continental United States	
23	at that time. Accordingly, Telebrands sought consent from Meyer to adjourn the hearing date for	
24	the motions filed by Meyer to the next available hearing date, which is April 8, 2013.	
25	In addition, in this action, the principal counsel for Meyer is located in Chicago, and the	
26	principal counsel for Telebrands is located in New York. To save the parties the time and	
27	"D L" refere to the ECE Desket Index for this action	
28	<sup>1</sup> "D.I." refers to the ECF Docket Index for this action.	

- 2 -

1	expense of having counsel travel to the Court for hearings on two dates, Meyer sought consent
2	from Telebrands to adjourn the hearing date for the motions filed by Telebrands to April 8, 2013,
3	so that all motions may be heard on the same date.
4	The parties have conferred and agreed to request that the Scheduling Order be amended
5	such that the four pending motions recited above will be heard on April 8, 2013.
6	This amendment will not affect any other deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order.
7	WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that the Court
8	modify the current case management schedule embodied in its May 31, 2012 Scheduling Order to
9	permit the currently pending motions (D.I. 65, 67, 68, 71) to be heard on April 8, 2013.
10	Respectfully submitted,
11	By: <u>/s/Robert T. Maldonado</u>
12	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
<ul><li>Neil A. Smith, Esq. (SBN 63777)</li><li>50 West San Fernando Street, Suite 1400</li></ul>	50 West San Fernando Street, Suite 1400
14	San Jose, CA 95113-2429
15	COOPER & DUNHAM LLP Peter D. Murray, Esq.
16	Robert T. Maldonado, Esq. Hindy Dym, Esq.
17	30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112
18	Counsel for Defendant
19	
20	
21	By: <u>/s/ R. David Donoghue</u>
22	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP R. David Donoghue, Esq. (SBN 205730)
23	Michael A. Grill (Pro Hac Vice) 131 South Dearborn Street, 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor
24	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 263-3600
25	Facsimile: (312) 263-6666
26	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP Matthew B. Vafidia (SBN 1002578)
27	Matthew P. Vafidis (SBN 1003578) 50 California Street, 28 <sup>th</sup> Floor Son Francisco, CA, 04111
28	San Francisco, CA 94111
	Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order to adjourn the hearing date for all pending motions - 3 - CASE NO. 2:11-CV-03153 LKK-DAD

1	Telephone: (415) 743-6900 Facsimile: (415) 743-6910
2	Counsel for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
3	Counsel for Flaiming and Counter-Defendant
4	So Ordered:
5	
6	Date: March 4, 2013.
7	
8	anne K Karlton
9	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order to adjourn the hearing date for all pending motions - 4 - CASE NO. 2:11-CV-03153 LKK-DAD