1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KENNETH SMITH,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-3209 DAD P
12	VS.
13	RIO CONSUMNES CORRECTIONAL CENTER, ORDER AND
14	Defendant. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
15	
16	By order filed March 29, 2012, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days
17	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and
18	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be randomly assigned to
20	a District Judge.
21	Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
22	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
	1

1	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
2	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
3	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
4	DATED: May 7, 2012.
5	2
6	Dale A. Drozd DALE A. DROZD
7	DAD:4 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE smi3209.fta
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2

I