1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MARVIN HARRIS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-3322 CKD P
12	VS.
13	TOM VIRGA, et al., ORDER and
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed January 4, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within
17	twenty-one days, why he is not barred from proceeding in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C.
18	1915(g). The twenty-one day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the
19	court's order.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a
21	district judge to this case.
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
23	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
25	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
26	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

1	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
2	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
3	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
5	Dated: February 16, 2012
6	Carop U. Delany
7	CAROLYN K. DELANEY / UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	3
9	harr3322.fsc
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·