

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELIZABETH ESCOBAR,)	
)	2:11-cv-03423-GEB-EFB
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	<u>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND</u>
)	<u>CONTINUING STATUS (PRETRIAL</u>
JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, and DOES)	<u>SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE</u>
1-20,)	
)	
Defendants.*)	
_____)	

The March 29, 2012 Order Continuing Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference scheduled a status conference in this case on June 25, 2012, and required the parties to file a further joint status report no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduling conference. No joint status report was filed as ordered.


Therefore, Plaintiff and Defendant are Ordered to Show Cause ("OSC") in a writing to be filed no later than June 25, 2012, why sanctions should not be imposed against them and/or their counsel under Rule 16(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to file a timely status report. The written response shall also state whether the parties or their counsel are at fault, and whether a hearing is

* The caption has been amended according to the April 30, 2012 Order of Dismissal (ECF No. 10), which dismissed Defendant Delinda Woltring.

1 requested on the OSC.¹ If a hearing is requested, it will be held on July
2 9, 2012, at 9:00 a.m., just prior to the status conference, which is
3 rescheduled to that date and time. A status report shall be filed no
4 later than fourteen (14) days prior to the status conference.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: June 19, 2012

7
8 
9 _____
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 _____
26 ¹ "If the fault lies with the attorney, that is where the impact
27 of sanction should be lodged. If the fault lies with the clients, that
28 is where the impact of the sanction should be lodged." Matter of
Sanction of Baker, 744 F.2d 1438, 1442 (10th Cir. 1984), cert. denied,
471 U.S. 1014 (1985). Sometimes the faults of attorneys, and their
consequences, are visited upon clients. In re Hill, 775 F.2d 1385, 1387
(9th Cir. 1985).