

1 Etan E. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 173728)
 erosen@bprlaw.net
 2 Ralph C. Lee, Esq. (SBN 258608)
 rlee@bprlaw.net
 3 BEYER, PONGRATZ & ROSEN
A Professional Law Corporation
 4 3230 Ramos Circle
 Sacramento, CA 95827
 5 Telephone: (916) 369-9750
 Facsimile: (916) 369-9760
 6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
 MARIE ELLIOTT, ANDREA KRUSE,
 PATRICIA ROOTS, and RANDI WILSON
 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12 MARIE ELLIOTT, ANDREA KRUSE,
 PATRICIA ROOTS, and RANDI
 13 WILSON, individually,

) CASE NO.: 2:12-CV-00117-MCE-DAD
)
) **STIPULATION AND ORDER**
) **REGARDING WRITTEN DEPOSITION**

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16
 17 AMADOR COUNTY UNIFIED
 SCHOOL DISTRICT, AMADOR
 18 COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION,
 THERESA HAWK, in her individual and
 19 official capacity, and DOES 1 through 50,
 inclusive,

20 Defendants.
 21

22 On August 14, 2012, Plaintiffs served deposition notices for oral deposition and for the
 23 production of documents on August 30, 2012 for:

24 DEPONENT: Defendant, Amador County Unified School District’s, Person Most
 25 Knowledgeable about any “communications between Amador County Unified School District and
 26 the Amador County Board of Supervisors REGARDING any or all of the Plaintiffs including
 27 MARIE ELLIOTT, ANDREA KRUSE, PATRICIA ROOTS, and RANDI WILSON, from January
 28 2010 through November 10, 2011”; and

1 DEPONENT: Defendant, Amador County Office of Education's, Person Most
2 Knowledgeable about any "communications between Defendant, Amador County Office of
3 Education, and the Amador County Board of Supervisors REGARDING any or all of the Plaintiffs
4 including MARIE ELLIOTT, ANDREA KRUSE, PATRICIA ROOTS, and RANDI WILSON, from
5 January 2010 through November 10, 2011."

6 Counsel for Defendants responded in a letter dated August 21, 2012 raising various
7 objections to the notices.

8 Therefore, a stipulation has been sought and obtained by the parties, through their respective
9 undersigned counsel, that given the date of the upcoming opposition to the motion to dismiss,
10 Defendants agree the Deponent will provide responses to these written deposition questions within
11 seven (7) days after the questions are served via email, excluding weekends and holidays, up to thirty
12 (30) questions; and the parties stipulate that Defendants will keep form related objections (i.e. vague,
13 ambiguous) to a minimum and that interrogatory definitions of terms should not be required unless
14 the question intends for a very particular meaning of a term.

15 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS/DEPONENTS:

16 Dated: August 23, 2012

_____/s/ JASON M. SHERMAN_(as authorized 8/23/12)____

17 ALESA SCHACHTER
18 JASON M. SHERMAN

19 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:

20 Dated: August 23, 2012

_____/s/ RALPH C. LEE_____

21 ETAN E. ROSEN
22 RALPH C. LEE

23 **ORDER**

24 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 DATED: August 27, 2012.

26 
27 _____
28 DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 Ddad1\orders.civil\elliott0117.stip.disc.ord