I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CESARE REDMOND,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:12-cv-0169 GEB DAD P
12	VS.
13	G. SWARTHOUT, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas
17	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
18	On June 7, 2012, respondent filed a motion to dismiss. Petitioner has not filed an
19	opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to
20	file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any
21	opposition to the granting of the motion"
22	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one days of
23	the date of this order, petitioner shall file and serve an opposition to respondent's motion to
24	dismiss and shall show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for the failure to
25	file a timely opposition. In the alternative, if petitioner no longer wishes to proceed with this
26	/////
	1

1	matter, he should file a request to dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a) of
2	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
3	DATED: July 17, 2012.
4	2
5	Dale A. Droget
6	DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I