1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RYAN BIGOSKI-ODOM, No. 2:12-CV-0197-KJM-CMK-P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 JAMES FIRMAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 19 Eastern District of California local rules. 20 On February 3, 2016, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, 21 which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections 22 within a specified time. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed. 23 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United 24 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are 25 reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 26 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 27 supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 28 ///// 1

1		Accor	rdingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2		1.	The findings and recommendations filed February 3, 2016, are adopted in
3	full;		
4		2.	Defendant Jeffrey's unopposed motion for summary judgment (Doc. 41) is
5	granted; and		
6		3.	This action proceeds against defendant Firman only.
7	DATED: Ma	rch 22,	2016
8			
9			MA MILO (a)
10			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			