1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MYRON A. PAYNE,	No. 2:12-cv-0243 DAD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action, has requested	
18	appointment of counsel.	
19	The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require	
20	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States Dist. Court</u> , 490	
21	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the	
22	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 2	8 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d
23	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
24	The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's	
25	likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in	
26	light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328,	
27	1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances	
28	common to most prisoners, such as lack of le	egal education and limited law library access, do not
		1

1	establish exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of
2	counsel. In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of
4	counsel (Doc. No. 34) is denied.
5	Dated: May 22, 2015
6	Dale A. Dage
7	DALE A. DROZD
8	/kly UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE payn0243.31
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2