Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAMUEL ANDERSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0261 MCE KJN P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW TATE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On August 6, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days.
22	Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
25	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26	proper analysis.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 6, 2012, are adopted in full;
3	and
4	2. Plaintiff's June 27, 2012 motion regarding retaliation (dkt. no. 65), construed as
5	a motion for injunctive relief, is denied.
6	Dated: January 8, 2013
7	Moun les j'.
8	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	/ande0261.805
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2