

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UTHA HELLMANN-BLUMBERG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC, a
15 California Corporation,

16 Defendant.
17

No. 2:12-cv-0286 TLN DAD

ORDER

18 This matter came before the court August 16, 2013, for hearing on plaintiff's
19 motion to compel. (Doc. No. 62.) Attorney Jose Fuentes appeared on behalf of plaintiff Utha
20 Hellmann-Blumberg. Attorney Linda Adler appeared telephonically on behalf of defendant
21 University of the Pacific.


22 After considering the arguments of the parties set forth in the joint statement of
23 discovery disagreement filed August 9, 2013, (Doc. No. 64), and at oral argument, for the reasons
24 set forth on the record, plaintiff's motion to compel is granted in part and denied in part.

25 Accordingly, on or before August 19, 2013, at 4:30 p.m., defendant shall produce
26 communications, documents, records, reports, etc., which Dr. Pallavicini has reviewed in
27 formulating her opinion regarding plaintiff's record of scholarship as compared to that of tenure
28 candidates in the Chemistry and Biological Sciences departments who were employed during the

1 same time period as plaintiff. If Dr. Pallavicini reviews further materials thereafter, defendant
2 shall make a supplemental production of such responsive documents at or before the
3 commencement of her deposition on August 21, 2013.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: August 16, 2013

6 

7 _____
8 DALE A. DROZD
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14

15 DAD:6
16 Ddad1\orders.civil\hellmann0286.oah.081613
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28