1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CINDY I. DIAZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0328-JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	SISKIYOU COUNTY JAIL,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a
17	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed March 14, 2012, plaintiff's first
18	amended complaint was dismissed with leave to file a second amended complaint. On April 18,
19	2012, plaintiff filed a letter addressed to the undersigned, accompanied by the notice of
20	submission of documents appended to the March 14, 2012 order. Good cause appearing, the
21	court construes plaintiff's letter as a proposed second amended complaint.
22	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief
23	against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C.
24	§ 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised
25	claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be
26	/////
	1

granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28
 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.
<u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Franklin v. Murphy</u>, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28
(9th Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. <u>Neitzke</u>,
490 U.S. at 327. The critical inquiry is whether a constitutional claim, however inartfully
pleaded, has an arguable legal and factual basis. <u>See Jackson v. Arizona</u>, 885 F.2d 639, 640 (9th
Cir. 1989); Franklin, 745 F.2d at 1227.

10 Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "requires only 'a short and 11 plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief,' in order to 'give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atlantic 12 13 Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1964 (2007) (quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). In order to survive dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must 14 15 contain more than "a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action;" it must contain 16 factual allegations sufficient "to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." Bell Atlantic, 17 id. However, "[s]pecific facts are not necessary; the statement [of facts] need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests."" Erickson 18 19 v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 127 S.Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (quoting Bell, 127 S.Ct. at 1964, in turn 20 quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). In reviewing a complaint under this 21 standard, the court must accept as true the allegations of the complaint in question, Erickson, id., 22 and construe the pleading in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 23 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

It appears from the proposed second amended complaint that plaintiff is claiming
violation of her rights under the Eighth Amendment by deliberate indifference to her serious
medical needs. However, plaintiff has not identified any individual defendants, nor has she

2

alleged specific acts or omissions by anyone that are causing or contributing to a violation of her
 rights under the Eighth Amendment. For that reason, the second amended complaint must be
 dismissed. The court will grant plaintiff leave to file a third amended complaint. Any third
 amended complaint must be prepared on the court's form civil rights complaint, which will be
 provided to plaintiff with this order.

6 If plaintiff chooses to file a third amended complaint, plaintiff must demonstrate 7 how the conditions complained of have resulted in a deprivation of plaintiffs constitutional 8 rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980). Also, the second amended complaint 9 must identify specific individual defendants and must allege in specific terms how each named 10 defendant is involved. There can be no liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 unless there is some 11 affirmative link or connection between a defendant's actions and the claimed deprivation. Rizzo 12 v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362 (1976); May v. Enomoto, 633 F.2d 164, 167 (9th Cir. 1980); Johnson v. 13 Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978). Furthermore, vague and conclusory allegations of official participation in civil rights violations are not sufficient. Ivey v. Board of Regents, 673 14 15 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982).

16 In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in 17 order to make plaintiff's third amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that an 18 amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is 19 because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. 20 Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files a third amended complaint, the 21 original pleading no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in a third amended 22 complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must 23 be sufficiently alleged.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
 Plaintiff's second amended complaint is dismissed.

26 /////

24

25

3

1	2. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the
2	attached Notice of Amendment and submit the following documents to the court:
3	a. The completed Notice of Amendment; and
4	b. An original and one copy of the Third Amended Complaint.
5	Plaintiff's third amended complaint shall be completed on the form provided with this order and
6	shall comply with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
7	and the Local Rules of Practice; the third amended complaint must bear the docket number
8	assigned this case and must be labeled "Third Amended Complaint"; failure to file a third
9	amended complaint in accordance with this order may result in the dismissal of this action.
10	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff this court's form prisoner
11	civil rights complaint and accompanying instructions.
12	DATED: April 27, 2012.
13	NOT MO DI
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	12
17	diaz0328.142
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	4

I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CINDY I. DIAZ,	
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0328 JFM (PC)	
12	VS.	
13	SISKIYOU COUNTY JAIL, <u>NOTICE OF AMENDMENT</u>	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Plaintiff hereby submits the following document in compliance with the court's	3
17	order filed:	
18	Third Amended Complaint	
19	DATED:	
20		
21	Plaintiff	
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20	5	
	5	