

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL DURONSLET,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-0406 MCE AC P

VS.

JOHN H. BAKER, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER and

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Two recent court orders were served on plaintiff's address of record and returned service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), as that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. Sixty-three days have passed since the first court order was returned by the postal service. Plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of a current address.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of these findings and recommendations on plaintiff at his address of record and at: Paul Duronslet, CDCR# AM6139, Deuel Vocational Institution, P.O. Box 600, Tracy, California 95378-0600; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without

1 prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

2 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
3 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-
4 eight (28) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
5 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate
6 Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and
7 served within twenty-eight (28) days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that
8 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
9 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 DATED: February 14, 2013.

11 
12 ALLISON CLAIRE
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14
15 AC:rb
16 duro0406.33a
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26