(PC) Thomas v. Voong

Doc. 106

In his motion to compel, plaintiff asserts that defendants have improperly redacted documents produced in response to plaintiff's discovery requests. Defendants argue, among other things, that plaintiff's motion is untimely. Specifically, defendants note that the documents at issue were served on plaintiff in September 2014 and that, pursuant to the then-operative scheduling order, any motions to compel were due within 60 days after the discovery cut-off date of October 27, 2014.² Plaintiff never sought any extension of this deadline. Plaintiff's motion to compel was filed in December 2015 – a year after the motion to compel deadline expired – and is untimely.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff's "Motion for Court's Assistance to Get Legal Documents"
 (Doc. 71) is stricken; and
 - 2. Plaintiff's motion to compel (Doc. 103) is denied as untimely.

DATED: February 12, 2016

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The scheduling order was later vacated, but was in place as of the expiration of the late December 2014 motion to compel deadline.