(HC)Barron	v. Biter	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ISAIAH DUPRI BARRON, 1:12-	cv-0240-DLB (HC)
12		ER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
13	Petitioner, UNIT	RAMENTO DIVISION OF THE TED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
14	VS.	TERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	MARTIN BITER	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 1915.	
21	The petitioner is challenging a conviction from Sacramento County, which is part of the	
22	Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
23	Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This co	ourt will not rule on petitioner's request to

Doc. 4

proceed in forma pauperis. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis. 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Sacramento; and 3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **Dated:** February 23, 2012