1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SHINGLE SPRINGS BAND OF MIWOK 10 INDIANS, 11 12 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:12-cv-0548 JAM DAD PS 13 VS. THE ASSOCIATION PURPORTEDLY 14 ORDER DOING BUSINESS AS THE SHINGLE SPRINGS BAND OF MIWOK INDIANS, 15 et al., 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff, Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, proceeding with counsel, commenced this action on March 1, 2012, by filing a complaint and paying the required filing 20 21 fee. On April 23, 2012, plaintiff's counsel filed an amended complaint. On April 30, 2012, 22 defendants Rebecca Collier, Richard Smith and Barbara Smith, proceeding pro se, each filed a 23 motion to dismiss. (Doc. Nos. 28-30.) Thereafter, on May 2, 2012, defendants Brandi Russell, 24 Ronaldeen Hayden, Jennifer Bassford and Virginia Clark, proceeding pro se, each filed a motion 25 to dismiss. (Doc. Nos. 32-35.) ///// 26

1

On May 7, 2012, plaintiff filed a notice reporting that each of the motions to dismiss filed by the pro se defendants failed to comply with Local Rules 131(a) and 230(b), having not been accompanied with a proper notice a motion and not being noticed for hearing, along with other deficiencies. (Doc. No. 36.) On June 4, 2012, defendant Mary Ann Harper, proceeding pro se, filed a similarly defective motion to dismiss. (Doc. No. 39.) Finally, on February 21, 2013, the assigned District Judge issued a minute order referring this matter to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

Each of the motions to dismiss filed by the above named defendants fails to comply with the Local Rules and will be denied without prejudice. If any defendant wishes to respond to plaintiff's complaint by filing another motion to dismiss, they are advised to first consult and comply with the Local Rules, specifically Local Rule 230.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. Nos. 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 39) are denied without prejudice. Defendants shall file responsive pleadings within twenty-one days of the date of this order.

le A. Dage

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: February 28, 2013.

DAD:6

Ddad1\orders.pro se\shinglesprings0548.mtd.den