

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TREDERIALO BACA,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-0572 KJM DAD P

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

By order filed August 7, 2012, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed and he was granted leave to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: October 1, 2012.

6
7 
8 _____
9 DALE A. DROZD
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11

12 DAD:dpw
13 baca0572.fta
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26