1		
2		
3		
4		
5	5	
6	5	
7	7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARY PRASAD; et al. No. 2:12-	-cv-00592-TLN-JFM
12	2 Plaintiffs,	
13	3 v. ORDER	
14	COUNTY OF SUTTER, et al.,	
15	5 Defendants.	
16		
17	This matter is before the Court on a Request for Reconsideration by the District Court of	
18	Magistrate Judge's Ruling filed by Defendant County of Sutter ("Defendant"). (ECF No. 104.)	
19	Plaintiffs oppose the request. (ECF No. 106.) This Court upholds a magistrate judge decision	
20	unless it was "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." See E.D. Cal. L.R. 303(f); 28 U.S.C. §	
21	636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). Upon review of the Magistrate Judge's Order, Defendant's	
22	Request for Reconsideration, and Plaintiff's Opposition, the Court concludes that the Magistrate	
23	Judge's ruling was not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
24	Therefore, Defendant's Request for Reconsideration (ECF No. 104) is DENIED.	
25	5 IT IS SO ORDERED.	$\lambda \cap \Lambda$
26	5 Dated: October 22, 2013	Jun Hunley
27		oy L. Nunley
28	3 Ur	nited States District Judge
	1	