

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 GOLDYN COOPER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SCOTT HEATLEY, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:12-cv-00602 KJM DAD P

ORDER

17 Defendants Heatley, Weinholdt and Pepper have filed objections to the court's August 21,  
18 2013 Discovery and Scheduling Order. In this regard, defendants have requested that additional  
19 time be provided for discovery and the filing of dispositive motions in this action. Defendants  
20 Heatley and Weinholdt filed their answer on July 2, 2013. On August 15, 2013, defendant Pepper  
21 filed a motion to dismiss the complaint with prejudice as to the claim alleged against him and to  
22 strike plaintiff's claim for punitive damages. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  
23 that:

24 1. Defendants' requests that the court's Discovery and Scheduling Order be modified  
25 (ECF Nos. 25 & 26) are granted;


26 2. The court's August 21, 2013 Discovery and Scheduling Order is modified as follows:

27 a. The October 25, 2013 deadline for discovery and to file any motions necessary  
28 to compel discovery is vacated, and reset for April 25, 2014;

1                   b. The January 17, 2014 deadline to file pretrial motions (except motions to  
2 compel) is vacated, and reset for June 17, 2014; and

3                   c. All other requirements and orders in the August 21, 2013 Discovery and  
4 Scheduling Order shall remain in effect.

5 Dated: August 26, 2013

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 DALE A. DROZD  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:4  
10 coop602.36sched