1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 KRIS ROBINSON, No. 2:12-cv-00604-GEB-AC 8 Plaintiff, 9 V. 10 HD SUPPLY, INC., 11 Defendant.

PROPOSED TRIAL DOCUMENTS

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

26

27

28

Attached are the Court's proposed voir dire questions, preliminary jury instructions, closing jury instructions, and verdict form. Any proposed modifications to what is attached should be submitted as soon as practicable.

Proposed Closing Jury Instructions

Several of the parties' proposed instructions have been modified for clarity, to eliminate unnecessary language, and to more closely follow the language used in the Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instructions and Judicial Council of California Civil Jury Instructions ("CACI") upon which they are based.

Since the Court's proposed voir dire contains a neutral case, the parties' proposed "claims statement of the defenses" instruction is unnecessary and will not be used.

The parties' proposed liability instructions include as elements that Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, that Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, and that Defendant was

employer. Since each of these elements is undisputed, they have been eliminated from the attached liability instructions. See Final Pretrial Order 6:2-5, ECF No. 94. The goal is to "help the jurors to concentrate on the question[s] at hand." Achor v. Riverside Golf Club, 117 F.3d 339, 341 (7th Cir. 1997).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties' proposed "disparate treatment" instruction, which is based upon CACI No. 2500, will not be given since it is duplicative of the parties' "disability discrimination" instruction, which is specific to disparate treatment based upon a disability.

The parties' proposed "wrongful discharge/demotion in violation of public policy" instruction utilizes different terminology for the element that requires Plaintiff to show a causal connection between Plaintiff's termination and his harm than the other proposed liability instructions. This instruction uses the word "cause," whereas each of the other proposed liability instructions uses the phrase "substantial factor." It appears that the different terminology used for this element is without legal significance and could be confusing to the jury; therefore, the attached instructions use "substantial factor" consistently throughout and define that term once as follows: "A 'substantial factor' in causing harm is a factor that reasonable person would consider to have contributed to the harm. It must be more than a remote or trivial factor. It does not have to be the only cause of the harm." The definition is based upon CACI Instruction No. 430 - "Causation: Substantial Factor."

The last paragraph of the parties' proposed "accommodation" instruction has been deleted. Its content has

been incorporated into Instruction No. 10, which defines the terms "major life activity," "limits," and "difficult" consistent with California Code of Regulations section 11065(1).

2.1

2.2

The parties' proposed "adverse employment action" instruction will not be given since that phrase is not used in the attached instructions. It is understood, and the attached instructions reflect, that the adverse employment action at issue is Plaintiff's termination.

Plaintiff's proposed instructions Nos. 1 and 2 have been incorporated into Instruction No. 9, which is the liability instruction on Plaintiff's retaliation claim.

Plaintiff's proposed instruction No. 3 will not be given as worded, since it is not supported by the cited authorities. However, Instruction No. 9 includes a paragraph concerning Plaintiff's ability to prove an employer's retaliatory intent using direct or circumstantial evidence, which is consistent with Colarossi v. Coty US Inc., 97 Cal. App. 4th 1142, 1153 (2002).

Plaintiff's proposed instruction No. 4 will not be given. As stated above, Instruction No. 10 defines "major life activity," "limits" and "difficulty" as defined in the California Code of Regulations. Further, there is no need to define "mental disability" since it is not used in the attached instructions. Instead, the attached instructions reference Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder specifically.

The parties have proposed inadequate damages instructions. The attached proposed instructions include damages instructions which are based upon the introductory language in

the Ninth Circuit's Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 5.1 (Damages - Proof), and CACI Instructions Nos. 2433 (Wrongful Discharge in Violation of Public Policy - Damages), 3900 (Introduction to Tort Damages - Liability Contested), 3902 (Economic and Noneconomic Damages), 3903 (Items of Economic Damage), 3903C (Past and Future Lost Earnings), 3904 (Present Cash Value), 3905 (Items of Noneconomic Damage), and 3905A (Physical Pain, Mental Suffering, and Emotional Distress).

B. Proposed Verdict Form

The attached general verdict form will be used rather than the parties' proposed special verdict forms. See Floyd v. Laws, 929 F.2d 1390, 1395 (9th Cir. 1991) (stating "[a]s a general rule, the court has complete discretion over whether to have the jury return a special verdict or a general verdict").

Senior United States District Judge

Dated: February 21, 2014

17 Sall E. Kimell

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:12-cv-00604-GEB-AC KRIS ROBINSON, 12 Plaintiff, 1.3 VOIR DIRE v. 14 HD SUPPLY, INC., 15 Defendant. 16 17 Thank you for your presence and anticipated cooperation 18 in the jury selection questioning process we are about to begin. 19 This process concerns the right to a trial by jury, which is a 20 right that the founders of this nation considered an important 2.1 component of our constitutional system. 22 23 The court personnel who will assist me in this trial 24 are on the platform below me. The Courtroom Deputy is Shani 25 Furstenau. She is on the platform below me on my left side. Next 26 to her is the Certified Court Reporter, 27 28

2.1

We are about to begin what is known as voir dire. The purpose of voir dire is to ascertain whether you can be a fair and impartial juror on this case. Near or at the end of the process, each party can use a certain amount of what are called peremptory challenges, which excuse a potential juror from sitting as a juror on this case. A potential juror can also be excused for other reasons.

- 1. Ms. Furstenau, please administer the oath to the panel.
- 2. Counsel, the Jury Administrator randomly selected potential jurors and placed their names on the sheet that has been given to each party in the numerical sequence in which they were randomly selected. Each juror has been placed in his or her randomly-selected seat.
- 3. I will ask a series of questions to the jurors as a group. If you have a response, please raise your hand or the number you've been given, which reflects your seat number. Generally, you will be given an opportunity to respond in accordance with the numerical order in which you are seated, with the juror in the lowest numbered seat responding first. If no hand is raised, I will simply state "no response" for the record and then ask the next question. If you know it is your turn to respond to a question, you may respond before I call your name or your seat number, by stating your last name or just your seat

number, then your response. That should expedite the process.

- This is a civil case concerning Defendant's assistant termination of Plaintiff's employment as an transportation manager. Plaintiff alleges the following claims against Defendant: discrimination based upon his alleged Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, failure to provide a reasonable accommodation for his alleged Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, and retaliation for complaining to Defendant that dispatching employees to drive from Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center to the Salinas Distribution Center would result in violations of hours of service regulations. Plaintiff further alleges that this referenced conduct constitutes termination in violation of public policy. Defendant denies these allegations.
- 5. Raise your hand if you have any knowledge of the facts or events in this case or if there is anything about the allegations which causes you to feel that you might not be a fair juror in this case.
- 6. Raise your hand if there is any reason why you will not be able to give your full attention to this case.
- 7. Raise your hand if you will not be able to decide this case based solely on the evidence presented at the trial or if you are opposed to judging a witness's credibility.
- 8. Raise your hand if you will not apply the law I will give you if you believe a different law should apply.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

7 8 9

10 11

1.3

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2.1 22

23

24 25

26

28

27

The parties have informed me that the evidence and 9. argument portion of the trial should completed be in approximately 3-6 court days, after which the case will be submitted to the jury for jury deliberation. We will be in trial on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays from 9:00 a.m. to about 4:30 p.m. But as soon as you begin jury deliberation, you will be expected to deliberate every day, except weekends, from 9:00 a.m. to about 4:30 p.m., until you complete your deliberation.

If you cannot participate as a juror during these times, raise your hand.

- 10. Would Plaintiff's counsel introduce [himself/themselves], [his/their] client, and indicate any witness that [his/their] client may choose to call.
- 11. Defendant's counsel now has the opportunity to do the same thing.

Raise your hand if you know or have had any interaction with any person just introduced or named.

12. Raise your hand if you have ever served as a juror in the past.

State whether it was a civil or criminal case, and state whether the jury reached a verdict, but do not state the actual verdict reached.

13. Raise your hand if you have had any experience or are aware of anything that could have a bearing on your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case.

14. Now, I am going to ask you to put yourselves in the position of each lawyer and party in this case. Raise your hand if you have information that you think should be shared before each side is given an opportunity to exercise what are called peremptory challenges.

15. The Courtroom Deputy Clerk will give juror number one a sheet on which there are questions that I want each of you to answer. Please pass the sheet to the juror next to you after you answer the questions. The sheet asks you to state:

Your name and your educational background and the educational background of any person residing with you; and

Your present and former occupations and the present and former occupations of any person residing with you.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	KRIS ROBINSON,	No. 2:12-cv-00604-GEB-AC
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS
15	HD SUPPLY, INC.,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		10

2

3

4

1

Ladies and gentlemen: You are now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you on the law.

5

6

7

You must not infer that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be from these instructions or from anything I may say or do.

9

10

11

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

12 1.3

14

15 16

17

18

19

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all important.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

∠4

I am now going to give you jury admonitions that you must remember. When we take recesses, I may reference these admonitions by telling you to remember the admonitions or something similar to that. You are required to follow these admonitions whether or not I remind you to remember them:

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise:

Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone or electronic means, via e-mail, Facebook, text messaging, or any Internet chat room, blog, website, App, or other feature. This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation, and it applies to communicating with everyone

1 else including your family members, your employer, and the people 2 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case. But, if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the contact to the court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet, or using other reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on The law requires these restrictions to ensure the parties have a fair trial based on the same evidence that each party has had an opportunity to address.

Third, if you need to communicate with me, simply give a signed note to my courtroom clerk, or to the court reporter if my courtroom clerk is not present, who will give it to me.

24

25

26

27

There are rules of evidence that control what can be

2.1

received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

First, arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they will say in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

Second, questions and objections by the lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.

Third, testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, sometimes testimony and exhibits are received only for a limited purpose; if I give a limiting instruction, you must follow it.

Fourth, anything you see or hear when the court is not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not

have a transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention

said or see what is shown, let me know so that I can correct the

to the testimony as it is given.

During deliberations, you will have to make your

If at any time during the trial you cannot hear what is

problem.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you. When you leave,

your notes shall be left on the seat on which you are seated.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to talk with the attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

We will, of course, do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be.

-

2.1

The court accepts as having been proved the following facts, even though no evidence has been introduced on the subjects. You should therefore treat these facts as having been proved.

Defendant hired Plaintiff on March 24, 2008;

Plaintiff was terminated on February 10, 2010;

The number of statute miles between Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center and its Salinas Distribution Center is 130 statute miles;

The number of air miles between Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center and its Salinas Distribution Center is 113 air miles; and

A "statute mile" is measured as 5,280 feet. An "air mile" is measured as 6,076 feet under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Act.

The next phase of the trial will now begin. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what

that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not

required to make an opening statement.

Plaintiff will then present evidence, and counsel for the Defendant may cross-examine. Then Defendant may present evidence, and counsel for Plaintiff may cross-examine.

After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law that applies to the case and the attorneys will make closing arguments.

After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	KRIS ROBINSON,	No. 2:12-cv-00604-GEB-AC
10	Plaintiff,	
11	V.	CLOSING JURY INSTRUCTIONS
12	HD SUPPLY, INC.,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		21

I

_

necessary.

Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence and the arguments of the parties, it is my duty to instruct you on the law which applies to this case. Each of you is in possession of a copy of these jury instructions, which you

may take into the jury room for your use if you find it

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you must apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you and according to the law. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important.

Instruction No. 2 The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of: the sworn testimony of any witness; the exhibits that are received into evidence; and any facts to which the parties have agreed.

evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as a testimony by a

witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did.

Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which

makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either

direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct

You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law

O

you could find another fact.

much weight to give to any evidence.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;

the witness's memory;

the witness's manner while testifying;

the witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;

whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;

the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and

any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

preponderance of the evidence. This means you must be persuaded

Plaintiff has burden of proving each of his claims by a

by the evidence that the claim is more probably true than not true.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party presented it.

Plaintiff alleges he was terminated in violation of

public policy. To prevail on this claim, Plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, each of the following elements:

First, Plaintiff's complaints to Defendant that dispatching employees to drive from Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center to the Salinas Distribution Center would result in violation of hours and service regulations and/or Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder was a substantial motivating reason for his termination; and

Second, the termination was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff harm.

against him based on his Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. To prevail on this claim, Plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, each of the following elements:

Plaintiff alleges Defendant wrongfully discriminated

First, Defendant knew of Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder diagnosis;

Second, Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder limited a major life activity;

Third, Plaintiff was able to perform his essential job duties with reasonable accommodation for his Post Traumatic Stress Disorder;

Fourth, Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and/or Defendant's belief that Plaintiff had a history of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder was a substantial motivating reason for his termination;

Fifth, Plaintiff was harmed; and

Sixth, the termination was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.

Plaintiff alleges Defendant failed to reasonably accommodate his Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. To prevail on this claim, Plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, each of the following elements:

First, Defendant knew of Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder diagnosis;

Second, Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder limited a major life activity;

Third, Plaintiff was able to perform his essential job duties with reasonable accommodation for his Post Traumatic Stress Disorder;

Fourth, Defendant failed to provide reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder;

Fifth; Plaintiff was harmed; and

Sixth, Defendant's failure to provide reasonable accommodation was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.

A "reasonable accommodation" is a reasonable change to the workplace that allows an employee with a disability to perform the essential duties of the job.

Reasonable accommodations may include the following:

Making the workplace readily accessible to and usable by employees with disabilities;

Changing job responsibilities or work schedules; Reassigning the employee to a vacant position; Modifying or providing equipment or devices; Modifying tests or training materials; Providing qualified interpreters or readers; or Providing other similar accommodations for an individual with a disability.

more than one accommodation is reasonable, employer makes a reasonable accommodation if it selects one of those accommodations in good faith.

2.1

Plaintiff alleges Defendant retaliated against him for complaining to Defendant that dispatching employees to drive from Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center to the Salinas Distribution Center would result in violation of hours of service regulations. To prevail on this claim, Plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, each of the following elements:

First, Plaintiff reasonably and in good faith believed that dispatching employees to drive from Defendant's Sacramento Distribution Center to the Salinas Distribution Center would result in violation of hours of service regulations;

Second, Plaintiff complained to Defendant about the referenced violation of hours of service regulations;

Third, Plaintiff's complaints were a substantial motivating reason for his termination;

Fourth; Plaintiff was harmed; and

Fifth; the termination was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.

An employee is protected against retaliation if the employee reasonably and in good faith believed that what he complained of constituted unlawful conduct whether or not the challenged conduct is ultimately found to be unlawful.

An employee is not required to use special words when complaining of conduct that he reasonably and in good faith believes is unlawful for the complaint to be a protected activity. The relevant question is whether the employee's communication to the employer sufficiently conveyed the employee's reasonable concern that the employer is acting in an unlawful manner.

Both direct and circumstantial evidence can be used to show an employer's intent to retaliate. Direct evidence of retaliation may consist of remarks made by decision makers showing a retaliatory motive. Circumstantial evidence typically relates to factors such as an employee's job performance, the timing of events, and how the employer treated the employee in comparison to other similarly situated workers.

mental, and social activities, especially those life activities

that affect employability or otherwise present a barrier to

The phrase "major life activity" includes physical,

employment or advancement.

"Major life activities" include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, seeing, hearing,

eating, sleeping, walking, standing, sitting, reaching, lifting,

bending, speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating,

thinking, communicating, interacting with others, and working.

A disability "limits" a major life activity if it makes the achievement of the major life activity difficult.

Whether a disability "limits" a major life activity shall be determined without regard to mitigating measures or reasonable accommodations, unless the mitigating measure itself limits a major life activity.

Whether achievement of a major life activity is "difficult" is an individualized determination, which may consider what most people in the general population can perform with little or no difficulty, what members of Plaintiff's peer group can perform with little or no difficulty, and/or what

consider, among other factors, the following:

Whether there is a

available who can perform that duty;

In deciding whether a job duty is "essential," you may

Whether the reason the job exists is to perform that

Whether the job duty is highly specialized so that the

Evidence of whether a particular duty is "essential"

person currently holding the position was hired for his or her

limited number of

to which

employees

functions

are

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

duty;

8

Q

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

essential;

Defendant's written job descriptions pro

expertise or ability to perform the particular duty.

includes, but is not limited to, the following:

Defendant's judgment as

Defendant's written job descriptions prepared before advertising or interviewing applicants for the job;

The amount of time spent on the job performing the duty;

The consequences of not requiring the person currently holding the position to perform the duty;

The terms of a collective bargaining agreement;

The work experiences of past persons holding the job;

The current work experience of persons holding similar jobs;

and reference to the importance of the job in prior performance reviews.

"Essential job duties" do not include the marginal duties of the position. "Marginal duties" are those that, if not performed would not eliminate the need for the job, or those that could be readily performed by another employee, or those that could be performed in another way.

actually contributed to Plaintiff's termination. It must be more

than a remote or trivial reason. It does not have to be the only

reason motivating the termination.

A "substantial motivating reason" is a reason that

Plaintiff alleges he was terminated because of his Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and/or his complaints about the violation of hours of service regulations, which are unlawful reasons to terminate someone. Defendant alleges Plaintiff was terminated because of insubordination, which is a lawful reason to terminate someone.

If you find that Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and/or his complaints about the violation of hours of service regulations was a substantial motivating reason for his termination, you must then consider Defendant's stated reason for the termination.

2.1

If you find that Plaintiff's insubordination was also a substantial motivating reason, then you must determine whether Defendant has proven that it would have terminated Plaintiff anyway based on his insubordination even if Defendant had not also been substantially motivated by Plaintiff's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and/or his complaints about the violation of hours of service regulations.

In determining whether Plaintiff's insubordination was a substantial motivating reason, determine what actually motivated Defendant, not what it might have been justified in doing.

If you find that Defendant terminated Plaintiff only for a discriminatory and/or retaliatory reason, you will be asked to determine the amount of damages that Plaintiff is entitled to recover. If, however, you find that Defendant would have terminated Plaintiff anyway because of insubordination, then Plaintiff will not be entitled to damages.

That means that an employer may terminate an employee for no

reason, or for a good, bad, mistaken, unwise, or even unfair

reason, as long as its action is not for a discriminatory and/or

In California, employment is presumed to be "at will."

retaliatory reason.

A "substantial factor" in causing harm is a factor that a reasonable person would consider to have contributed to the harm. It must be more than a remote or trivial factor. It does not have to be the only cause of the harm.

Instruction No. 16

It is the duty of the Court to instruct you about the measure of damages. By instructing you on damages, the Court does not mean to suggest for which party your verdict should be rendered.

If you decide that Plaintiff has proved any of his claims against Defendant, you must also decide how much money will reasonably compensate Plaintiff for the harm. This compensation is called "damages." Plaintiff has the burden of proving damages by a preponderance of the evidence.

Plaintiff does not have to prove the exact amount of damages that will provide reasonable compensation for the harm. However, you must not speculate or guess in awarding damages.

The damages claimed by Plaintiff fall into two

categories, which are called economic damages and non-economic

damages. You will be asked on the verdict form to state the

categories of damages separately.

_ 0

The following are the specific items of economic damages claimed by Plaintiff:

Past and future loss of wages and benefits.

To recover damages for past lost wages and benefits, Plaintiff must prove the amount of wages and benefits that he has lost to date.

To recover damages for future lost wages and benefits, Plaintiff must prove the amount of wages and benefits he will be reasonably certain to lose in the future as a result of the harm caused by Defendant.

Any award for future economic damages should be reduced to present cash value. This is necessary because money received now will, through investment, grow to a larger amount in the future. Defendant must prove the amount by which future economic damages should be reduced to present value.

To find present cash value, you must determine the amount of money that, if reasonably invested today, will provide Plaintiff with the amount of his future economic damages.

In determining the period that Plaintiff's employment was reasonably certain to have continued, you should consider such things as:

Plaintiff's age, work performance, and intent to continue employment with Defendant;

Defendant's prospects for continuing the operations involving Plaintiff; and

Any other factor that bears on how long Plaintiff would have continued to work.

The following are the specific items of non-economic damages claimed by Plaintiff:

Past and future emotional pain and suffering.

No fixed standard exists for deciding the amount of these non-economic damages. You must use your judgment to decide a reasonable amount, if any, based on the evidence and your common sense.

To recover for future non-economic damages, Plaintiff must prove that he is reasonably certain to suffer that harm in the future.

For future non-economic damages, determine the amount in current dollars paid at the time of judgment that will compensate Plaintiff for future noneconomic harm, if any. Any award for future non-economic damages should not be further reduced to present cash value.

claims. However, each item of damages may be awarded only once,

regardless of the number of claims on which Plaintiff may

Plaintiff is seeking damages from Defendant in several

prevail.

If you decide that Defendant's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm, you must decide whether that conduct justifies an award of punitive damages. The amount, if any, of punitive damages will be an issue decided later.

At this time, you must decide whether Plaintiff has proved that Defendant engaged in that conduct with malice or oppression. To do this, Plaintiff must prove, by clear and convincing evidence, one or more of the following elements:

The conduct constituting malice or oppression was committed by one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant who acted on behalf of Defendant; or

The conduct constituting malice or oppression was authorized by one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant; or

One or more officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant knew of the conduct constituting malice or oppression, and adopted or approved that conduct after it occurred.

"Clear and convincing evidence" means it is highly probable that the fact is true. This is a higher standard of proof than proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

"Malice" means that Defendant acted with intent to cause injury or that Defendant's conduct was despicable and was done with a willful and knowing disregard of the rights or safety of another. A person acts with knowing disregard when he or she is aware of the probable dangerous consequences of his or her conduct and deliberately fails to avoid those consequences.

"Oppression" means that Defendant's conduct was despicable and subjected Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in knowing disregard of his rights.

"Despicable conduct" is conduct that is so vile, base, or contemptible that it would be looked down on and despised by reasonable people.

An employee is a "managing agent" if he or she exercises substantial independent authority and judgment in his or her corporate decision making such that his or her decisions ultimately determine corporate policy.

When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. Do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you

will fill in the form that will be given to you, sign and date it, and advise the United States Marshal's representative outside your door that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

have reached unanimous agreement on the verdict, your foreperson

communicate with me, you may send a note through the United

States Marshal's representative, signed by your foreperson or by

one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should

ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing;

and I will communicate with any member of the jury on anything

concerning the case only in writing, or here in open court. If

you send out a question, I will consult with the parties before

answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your

deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question.

Remember that you are not to tell anyone - including me - how the

jury stands, numerically or otherwise, until after you have

reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged. Do not

disclose any vote count in any note to the court.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to