1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	DANIEL K. CHESTANG,
10	Petitioner, No. 2:12-cv-0737 GGH P
11	VS.
12	SWARTHOUT,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of
16	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This case is before the undersigned pursuant to
17	petitioner's consent. Doc. 4. On April 23, 2012, the petition was dismissed with leave to amend
18	within 28 days, as the petition failed to set forth a cognizable claim. However, that time limit has
19	passed and petitioner has not filed an amended petition or otherwise communicated with the
20	court.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed for the
22	reasons set forth in the April 23, 2012, order and for failure to follow court instructions to file an
23	amended petition. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
24	DATED: July 2, 2012
25	<u>/s/ Gregory G. Hollows</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	GGH: AB - ches0737.fta
	1