

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 WORLDSLIDE, LLC, )  
9 Plaintiff, ) 2:12-cv-00872-GEB-CKD  
10 v. ) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND  
11 BRIAN DUBINSKY and AQUAWOOD, ) CONTINUING STATUS (PRETRIAL  
12 LLC, using trade name TOYQUEST; ) SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE  
13 WAL-MART STORES, INC.; )  
14 AMAZON.COM, INC.; and TOYS 'R' )  
15 US-DELAWARE, INC., )  
16 Defendants. )  
17 \_\_\_\_\_ )


18 The April 5, 2012, Order Setting Status (Pretrial Scheduling)  
19 Conference scheduled a status conference in this case on July 23, 2012,  
20 and required the parties to file a joint status report no later than  
21 fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduling conference. The April 5, 2012  
22 Order further required that a status report be filed regardless of  
23 whether a joint report could be procured. No status report was filed as  
24 ordered.

25 Therefore, Plaintiff is Ordered to Show Cause ("OSC") in a  
26 writing to be filed no later than July 23, 2012, why sanctions should  
27 not be imposed against it and/or its counsel under Rule 16(f) of the  
28 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to file a timely status  
report. The written response shall also state whether Plaintiff or its

1 counsel is at fault, and whether a hearing is requested on the OSC.<sup>1</sup> If  
2 a hearing is requested, it will be held on September 17, 2012, at 9:00  
3 a.m., just prior to the status conference, which is rescheduled to that  
4 date and time. A status report shall be filed no later than fourteen  
5 (14) days prior to the status conference.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: July 16, 2012

8  
9   
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
12 Senior United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> "If the fault lies with the attorney, that is where the impact  
27 of sanction should be lodged. If the fault lies with the clients, that  
28 is where the impact of the sanction should be lodged." Matter of  
Sanction of Baker, 744 F.2d 1438, 1442 (10th Cir. 1984), cert. denied,  
471 U.S. 1014 (1985). Sometimes the faults of attorneys, and their  
consequences, are visited upon clients. In re Hill, 775 F.2d 1385, 1387  
(9th Cir. 1985).