

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

XAVIER S. WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

No. 2:12-cv-0899 CKD P

vs.

L. S. McEWEN,

Respondent.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has consented to this court’s jurisdiction. (Dkt. No. 8.)

On May 23, 2012, the court denied petitioner’s motion to stay this action pursuant to Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005). (Dkt. No. 9.) The court found that petitioner had “made no attempt to show good cause for failing to exhaust state remedies” as to Claims 1-7. (Id. at 2.) The court granted petitioner thirty days to choose one of the following alternatives: (1) file an amended habeas application omitting all claims except those claims which have been presented to and rejected by the California Supreme Court; or (2) file a renewed motion to stay this action, showing good cause for his failure to exhaust earlier. (Id. at 2-3.)

////

