

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

MICHAEL GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD RIVERMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

2:12-cv-00937 DAD P
**ORDER MODIFYING
DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING
ORDER**

Defendants Naseer and Soltanian have filed a motion to modify the court’s discovery and scheduling order so that defense counsel has adequate time to take plaintiff’s deposition and file a motion to compel.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants’ motion to modify the court’s discovery and scheduling order (Doc. No. 48) is granted;
2. Defense counsel shall take plaintiff’s deposition and file any motion to compel on or before December 26, 2014; and

/////
/////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Except as otherwise provided in this order, the court's July 29, 2014 discovery and scheduling order remains in effect.

Dated: October 31, 2014

/grim0937.41mod



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE