

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

MICHAEL GRIMES,
v.
RICHARD RIVERMAN, et al.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

2:12-cv-00937 DAD P

**ORDER MODIFYING
DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING
ORDER**

Defendants have filed a motion seeking to modify the court’s discovery and scheduling order to allow for additional time to file a motion for summary judgment.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. Defendants’ motion to modify the court’s discovery and scheduling order (Doc. No. 64) is granted;

2. Defendants shall file any motion for summary judgment on or before April 28, 2015; and

/////
/////
/////

1 3. Except as otherwise provided in this order, the court's discovery and scheduling order
2 remains in effect.

3 Dated: March 1, 2015

4 

5 _____
6 DALE A. DROZD
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 DAD:9
9 grim0937.41msj
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28