(PC) Manago v. Cate et al	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	STEWART MANAGO,
10	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-0966 GGH P
11	VS.
12	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,
13	ORDER Defendants.
14	/
15	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
16	§ 1983. This case is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent. Doc. 5. On May 1,
17	2012, the court denied plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis as he was three strikes
18	barred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff was provided 28 days to pay the filing fee in
19	full or this case would be dismissed. Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration that was denied,
20	but has not paid the filing fee. Therefore, this case is dismissed.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is dismissed.
22	DATED: July 10, 2012
23	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	GGH: AB
25	mana0966.dis
26	
	1

Doc. 9