

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL SETH MACIEL,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
WILLIAM KNIPP,  
Respondent.

No. 2:12-cv-01023 MCE AC P

ORDER

By Order filed on March 21, 2014, the court continued the stay in this matter and ordered petitioner to file a case status update every ninety days. ECF No. 18. Petitioner’s last status update was filed on February 13, 2016.<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 27. His next status update was due May 13, 2016. An update has not been filed. Petitioner will be directed to file a case status update within ten days of service of this order.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner is to file a case status update within ten days of service of this order, after which he is to continue filing an update every ninety days.

///


///

<sup>1</sup> Since petitioner is proceeding pro se, he is afforded the benefit of the prison mailbox rule. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. Petitioner shall inform the court within thirty days of a decision by the state's highest court exhausting his new claims, and shall at that time request a lift of the stay and file an amended petition setting forth all of his claims.

DATED: June 10, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ALLISON CLAIRE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE