| 1 | | |
|----|---|---------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STAT | ES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | DONALD ANDERSON, et al., | No. 2:12-cv-01057-MCE-EFB |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 13 | V. | ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL |
| 14 | CENTURY SURETY COMPANY, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, due to the Court's current unavailability for a | |
| 18 | thirty-five (35) day trial, the May 2, 2016, trial is VACATED. Not later than five (5) days | |
| 19 | following the date this Order is electronically filed, the parties are directed to file a joint | |
| 20 | statement advising the Court as to which of the Court's available civil law and motion | |
| 21 | dates are mutually agreeable for counsel so that the Court may confirm the Final Pretrial | |
| 22 | Conference in this case. In that statement, the parties are further directed to confirm the | |
| 23 | number of days they anticipate it will require to try this case. Accordingly, the March 17, | |
| 24 | 2016, Final Pretrial Conference is VACATED. | |
| 25 | /// | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | | 1 |

At the same time, due to the Court's high civil caseload and heavy criminal calendar, the parties are strongly encouraged to consider consenting to a jury or nonjury trial before the assigned Magistrate Judge.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 14, 2016

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

The Eastern District of California has for years been one of the busiest District Courts in the nation. The parties are reminded that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73 and Local Rule 301, they may consent to a jury or nonjury trial before the assigned Magistrate Judge. As a result of the Court's high civil case load and the statutory right to a speedy trial in criminal cases, the parties are encouraged to consider the advantages of consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Magistrate Judges can assign civil litigants a trial date much sooner and with more certainty than District Court Judges. In addition, since Magistrate Judges do not try felony cases, a trial date assigned by one can be considered a firm date which will not be preempted by a criminal case (which may happen even once trial is already underway). Exercise of this jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge is however, permitted only if all parties file a voluntarily consent form. Parties may, without adverse substantive consequences, withhold their consent, but this will prevent the Court's case dispositive jurisdiction from being exercised by a Magistrate Judge.