

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIE WEAVER,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-1091 WBS DAD P

vs.

ATTORNEY HILARY DAVISSON,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed June 1, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Plaintiff was also granted the same period of time in which to voluntarily dismiss this action. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court’s order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.  
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: July 30, 2012.

6  
7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 DALE A. DROZD  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 weav1391.fifp  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26