

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK BUMPUS,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-01102-DAD P

vs.

A. NANGALAMA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed May 14, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that his failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be randomly assigned to a District Judge.

Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
2 objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate  
3 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections  
4 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.  
5 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: June 25, 2012.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:4  
11 bum1102.fifp

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26