(HC) Jones v	v. Virga I		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	RODNEY WAYNE JONES,		
11	Petitioner, No. 2:12-cv-1138 LKK GGH HC		
12	VS.		
13	TIM VIRGA, et. al.,		
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>		
15	/		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of		
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States		
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On October 15, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither		
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	/////		
	1		

Doc. 17

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
_	_

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 15, 2012, are adopted in full;
- 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 11) is denied; and
- 3. Respondents are directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition within sixty days from the date of this order. <u>See</u> Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. DATED: March 29, 2013.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT