(PS) Barroga v. Board of Administration Cal Public Employees' Retirement System

Doc. 12

judgment without prejudice for the following reasons.

First, plaintiff's motion for default judgment does not cure the defects in service raised by the court in its July 5, 2012 order. Plaintiff's motion restates that plaintiff served the summons and complaint on defendant on May 2, 2012, but the court already found the May 2, 2012 service defective. (See Order, July 5, 2012, at 2.) Although plaintiff appended a proof of service form to his motion for default judgment, that proof of service form only represents that plaintiff served the motion for default judgment on defendant—it says nothing about proper service of the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for default judgment is denied for lack of proper service of process.

Second, plaintiff did not obtain a clerk's entry of default before filing his motion for default judgment. As the court previously advised plaintiff in great detail, he must first obtain a clerk's entry of default prior to moving for a default judgment. (Order, July 5, 2012, at 2 & n.2.) Because no effective clerk's entry of default appears on the court's docket, plaintiff's motion for default judgment is denied.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for default judgment (Dkt. No. 11) is denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2012

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE