

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RUBEN VALDEZ,

No. 2:12-cv-1352-CMK-P

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

MATTHEW CATE, et al.,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not, however, filed a *complete* application to proceed in forma pauperis, along with a certified copy of his trust account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, or paid the required filing fee. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a), (a)(2). Plaintiff filed a declaration in support of a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc 2), but did not file an application nor a certified copy of his trust account statement. The declaration is not sufficient to be construed as a proper application. Plaintiff will be provided the opportunity to submit either a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis or the appropriate filing fee. Plaintiff is warned that failure to resolve the fee status of this case within the time provided may result in the dismissal of this action for lack of

1 prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. Plaintiff shall submit on the form provided by the Clerk of the Court,  
4 within 30 days from the date of this order, a complete application for leave to proceed in forma  
5 pauperis, with the required certified copy of his trust account statement, or the appropriate filing  
6 fee; and

7 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new form Application  
8 to Proceed In Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner.

9  
10 DATED: July 10, 2012

11   
12 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26