

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLIFFORD BOWEN,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-1390 DAD P

vs.

M. STREET, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed June 1, 2012 plaintiff was ordered to file an new in forma pauperis application within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed an in forma pauperis application.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign this action to a United States District Judge; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

1 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections  
3 shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are  
4 advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the  
5 District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: July 11, 2012.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 DALE A. DROZD  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 DAD:12  
13 bowe1390.fifp

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26