The Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference set for November 2, 2012, will therefore be continued. Plaintiff is cautioned that further failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction or in

25

26

Doc. 7

1	the recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. <u>See</u> Local Rules 110
2	and 183.
3	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
4	1. The Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference set for November 2, 2012 is
5	continued to Friday, December 14, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the United States District Court, 501
6	I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.
7	2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or,
8	if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status
9	conference telephonically <u>if</u> the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the
10	courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours
11	before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be
12	provided.
13	3. Plaintiff shall file and serve its own separate status report on or before
14	November 30, 2012, and defendant shall file and serve his own separate status report on or
15	before December 7, 2012 . Each party's status report shall address all of the following matters:
16	a. Progress of service of process;
17	b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
18	c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
19	d. Jurisdiction and venue;
20	e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
21	f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including disclosure of expert witnesses;
22	
23	g. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law
24	and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial conference and trial;
25	h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity
26	or complexity of the action;

1	 i. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in bankruptcy;
2	Whather the parties will stimulate to the magistrate
3	j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his
4	so acting, or whether they prefer to have a Settlement Conference before another magistrate
5	judge;
6	k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge; and
7	1 Any other meeting that may aid in the just and
8	l. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious disposition of this action.
9	4. The parties are again cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to
10	appear at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction,
11	including a possible recommendation that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to
12	prosecute. See Local Rules 110 and 183.
13	DATED: October 26, 2012.
14	2000
15	Dale A. Dryd DALE A. DROZD
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	DAD:6
19	Ddad1\orders.pro se\FDIC1417.ossc2
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
23	