1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	BURLEY D. TOMPKINS, No. 2:12-CV-1481-CMK
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff brings this civil action. Pursuant to the written consent of all parties, this
18	case is before the undersigned as the presiding judge for all purposes, including entry of final
19	judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
20	A status/scheduling conference is hereby set before the undersigned on February
21	12, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. in Redding, California.
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
	A Company of the Comp

3. Plaintiff and defense counsel are reminded of their continuing duty to notify chambers immediately of any settlement or other disposition (see Local Rule 160). In addition, the parties are cautioned that pursuant to Local Rule 230(c), opposition to the granting of a motion must be filed fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing date. The Rule further provides that "[n]o party will be entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed by that party." Finally, Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."

DATED: December 13, 2013

CRAIGM. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE