Doc. 10

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are not related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, although the actions numbered 11-0346 and 12-1489 stem from the same event as the action numbered 09-2445, the three actions are not based on a similar claim, nor do they involve similar questions of fact or law such that "their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(1)-(3). Moreover, 11-0346 and 12-1489 would not "entail substantial duplication of labor" if these actions are heard by different judges from 09-2445. Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge will not effect a substantial savings of judicial effort or be convenient for the parties.

As a result, these three cases shall not be related. The undersigned expresses no opinion regarding the relation of 11-0346 and 12-1489 to each other.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 6, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE